# Gravitational Lensing

## Stefan Brems

#### Astronomy Summerschool 2013 Ruprecht-Karls Universität Heidelberg

23. August 2013

Basic Geometry

Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings  $_{\rm OOOOOOO}$ 

## Content

## Development of Gravitational Lensing History of Gravitational Lensing

## **2** Geometrical basics on Gravitational Lensing

Lensing by Pointmasses Thin Screen Approximation Lensing Geometry

#### **3** Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings

Einstein radius Einstein ring Magnification Effects

Development •00	Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings
History of Gravitational Lensing	
Development	

- Soldner calculated deflection of the light by assuming it as massive particles 1804
- 1911 Einstein employed the equivalence principle and re-derived Soldner's formula
- in 1915 Einstein applied the full field equations of General Relativity and discovered twice the deflection angle caused by space-time distortion
- this predicted a deflection of 1"7 of light grazing tangentially the sun's surface  $\rightarrow$ verified in 1919 during the eclipse of the sun

 Development
 Basic Geometry
 Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings

 ••
 ••
 ••

 ••
 ••
 ••

 History of Gravitational Lensing
 ••

 Deflection of light rays



Figure : Angular deflection of a ray passing close to the limb of the sun

Development	Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings
000	
History of Gravitational Lensing	

- 1920 Eddington noted the possibility of multiple lightpaths connecting a source and an observer
   →multiple images of a single light source (although the chance to observe was assumed very little)
- 1937 Zwicky pointed out that galaxies could do that and also magnify distant galaxies

Development	
Lensing by Pointn	lass

Basic Geometry ••••••



## Figure : Illustrated, perturbed spacetime

Lensing by Pointmasses

Basic Geometry 00000000000

## Deflection by Pointmasses

We're assuming a Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker-metric (homogeneous and isotropic) with only local perturbations  $\rightarrow$ locally Minkowskian spacetime

• the refraction index n can now be approximated by the Newtonian Potential  $\Phi$  as

$$n \approx 1 - \frac{2}{c^2} \Phi \stackrel{\Phi < 0}{=} 1 + \frac{2}{c^2} |\Phi|$$

the speed of light changes to

$$v = \frac{c}{n} \approx c - \frac{2}{c} |\Phi|$$

 Development
 Basic Geometry
 Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings

 000
 0000000000
 0000000

 Lensing by Pointmasses
 Einstein Rings

We can compare this to the deflection by a glass prism:



Figure : Light being deflected by a prism

Development	Basic Geometry	Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings
	0000000000	
Lensing by Pointmasses		

We can now calculate the time delay of the light signal, the so called *Shapiro Delay* (1964):

$$\Delta t = \int_{source}^{observer} \frac{2}{c^3} |\Phi| dt$$

As also the *deflection angle*  $\hat{\alpha}$ :

$$\vec{\hat{\alpha}} = -\int \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} n dl = \frac{2}{c^2} \int \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} \Phi dl$$

But as  $\hat{\alpha}$  is always very small we can just integrate along an unperturbed light ray

M ●b/ Δz  $\hat{\alpha}$ 

Figure : Light deflection by a point mass. The unperturbed light ray passes the mass at impact parameter b and is deflected by the angle  $\hat{\alpha}$ 



Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings 0000000

• we get the Newtonian potential of the point mass M as

$$\begin{split} \Phi(b,z) &= -\frac{GM}{(b^2 + (\Delta z)^2)^{1/2}} \\ \Rightarrow \quad \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} \Phi(b,z) &= \frac{GM\vec{b}}{(b^2 + (\Delta z)^2)^{3/2}} \end{split}$$

• using this to calculate  $\hat{\alpha}$  we get

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{2}{c^2} \int \vec{\nabla}_\perp \Phi \, dz = \frac{4 \, G M}{c^2 \, b} \tag{1}$$

which is simply twice the inverse of the impact parameter in units of the Schwarzschildradius  $R_S = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$ 



Development 000 Thin Screen Approximation Basic Geometry

Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings  $_{\rm OOOOOOO}$ 

# Thin screen Approximation

As the lens is comparably thin to the total extend of the light path we will consider it as a *lens plane* which is characterized by its surface mass density  $\Sigma$ :

$$\Sigma(\vec{\xi}) = \int \rho(\vec{\xi}, z) dz$$

Our formula (1) will change to

$$\vec{\hat{\alpha}}(\vec{\xi}) = \frac{4G}{c^2} \int \frac{(\vec{\xi} - \vec{\xi'}) \Sigma(\vec{\xi'})}{|\vec{\xi} - \vec{\xi'}|^2} d^2 \xi',$$

where the deflection angle  $\hat{\vec{\alpha}}$  at position  $\vec{\xi}$  is due to the sum of all mass elements in the plane.

Development 000	Basic Geometry $000000000000000000000000000000000000$	Einstein Radius and Einstein Ri 0000000
Thin Screen Approximation		



Figure : Illustration of the thin screen approximation

Development	Basic Geometry	Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings
000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000
Thin Screen Approximation		

If we assume the lens being symmetric, we can shift the coordinate origin to the center of symmetry, so we will get the easier formula

$$\vec{\hat{\alpha}}(\vec{\xi}) = \frac{4GM(\xi)}{c^2\xi}$$

with

$$M(\xi) = 2\pi \int_0^{\xi} \Sigma(\xi') \xi' d\xi'$$

Development 000 Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings  $_{\rm OOOOOOO}$ 

Lensing Geometry

# Lensing Geometry



Figure : Illustration of a gravitational lens system from Source S to Observer O

Basic Geometry 

#### Lensing Geometry



We define the *reduced deflection angle* 

$$\vec{\alpha} = \frac{D_{ds}}{D_s} \vec{\hat{\alpha}}$$

and the *lens equation*:

$$\vec{\beta} = \vec{\theta} - \vec{\alpha}(\vec{\theta}). \tag{2}$$

We now assume a constant surface mass density. (1) gives:

$$\alpha(\theta) = \frac{D_{ds}}{D_s} \frac{4G}{c^2 \xi} \underbrace{(\Sigma \pi \xi^2)}_{M(\xi)} = \frac{4\pi G \Sigma}{c^2} \frac{D_d D_{ds}}{D_s} \theta$$

where we set  $\xi = D_d \theta$ .

Development 000	Basic Geometry 0000000000●	Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings
Lensing Geometry		

We can now also define the *critical surface mass density*  $\Sigma_{cr}$ , where  $\alpha(\theta) = \theta \iff \beta = 0$ 

$$\Sigma_{cr} = \frac{c^2}{4\pi G} \frac{D_s}{D_d D_{ds}} = 0.35 \frac{g}{cm^2} \left(\frac{1\,Gpc \cdot D_s}{D_d D_{ds}}\right)$$

The lens so focuses perfectly with a defined focal length. A lens with  $\Sigma > \Sigma_{cr}$  is called *supercritical*.

Development 000	Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings
Einstein radius	

Considering now a circularly symmetric lens with arbitrary mass profile. The lens equation leads to

$$\beta(\theta) = \theta - \frac{D_{ds}}{D_d D_s} \frac{4GM(\theta)}{c^2 \theta}$$

If we now have a source lying exactly on the optic axis, it is imaged as a ring if the lens is supercritical. By setting  $\beta = 0$  we obtain the *Einstein radius* of the ring:

$$\theta_E = \left(\frac{4GM(\theta_E)}{c^2} \frac{D_{ds}}{D_d D_s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3}$$



Development 000	Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings $0000000$
Einstein ring	

Considering a source not exactly on the symmetry line. Rewriting the lens equation (2) for a point mass as

$$\beta = \theta - \frac{\theta_E^2}{\theta}.$$
 (4)

with the two solutions

$$\theta_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\theta_E^2} \right)$$

 $\rightarrow$  every source is imaged twice  $\rightarrow$  one image on each side of the source  $\rightarrow$  one inside, one outside the Einstein ring

Basic Geometry 000000000000 Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings  $_{\texttt{OOO} \bullet \texttt{OOO}}$ 

#### Einstein ring



Figure : Visualization of multiple images (left) and Einstein rings (right). Source: Wikipedia

Development 000	Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings
Magnification	
Magnification	

- Gravitational light deflection preserves surface brightness
- but Gravitational Lensing can change the flux by changing the apparent solid angle of a source of a source as

$$magnification = \frac{imagesize}{sourcearea}$$

or in formula

$$\mu = \frac{\theta}{\beta} \frac{d\theta}{d\beta}$$

Basic Geometry

Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings 0000000



Figure : Magnified image of a source lensed by a point mass.

We can calculate the magnification by using (4) and its values for  $\mu_{\pm}$ (only for pointmasses):

$$\mu_{\pm} = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\theta_E}{\theta_{\pm}}\right)^4\right]^{-1}$$
$$= \frac{u^2 + 2}{2u\sqrt{u^2 + 4}} \pm \frac{1}{2}$$

where u is the angular separation of source and point mass in units of the Einstein angle:  $u = \beta \theta^{-1}$ .

Development 000	Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings $0000000$
Magnification	

Usually these two circles images are not resolvable, because the typical order of magnitude of the Einstein radius is:

$$\theta_E = (0.9") \left(\frac{M}{10^{11} M_{\odot}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{D}{Gpc}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

So we define the *total magnification*:

$$\mu := |\mu_+| + |\mu_-| = \frac{u^2 + 2}{u\sqrt{u^2 + 4}} \stackrel{u=1}{=} 1.17 + 0.17 = 1.34$$

This fluctuation can be observed if lens and source move relatively to each other. Development 000 Basic Geometry

Einstein Radius and Einstein Rings

## Thank you for your attention

